ECON 8040 - TA7

Michael Kotrous

University of Georgia

October 6, 2023

Today's Session

- ★ Midterm Retake (bonus credit) due October 6 (today) at 11:59pm
 - >> Those who redo midterm problems satisfactorily will receive 15 points added to their midterm score
- ★ PS4 extended to Friday, October 13 at 11:59pm
 - \rightarrow Problem 3 is optional
- ⋆ PS5 due Friday, October 13 at 11:59pm

General Thoughts

- * Read description of model carefully!
- * Models differed from HW and lecture notes
 - >> Thus, correct answers on exam don't match HW problems
 - >-> When studying lecture notes / HW, learn solution methods, not just results

a) Define ADCE

>>> State all equilibrium objects first

$$\{c_t^1, c_t^2, p_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$$

Given prices, household *i* chooses only *own* consumption

$$\max_{\substack{\{c_t, c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}}} U(c_t) \qquad \max_{\substack{\{c_i\}_{t=0}^{\infty}}} U(c_t)$$

- >> Household has only one budget constraint
- → Market clears every period

- b) Define Pareto efficient allocation
 - → Define "feasible"
 - → Do not define a Planner's Problem

Midterm Retake

- c) Prove first welfare theorem
 - \rightarrow Proof by contradiction (i.e., show $CE \land \neg PE$ is wrong)
 - → Follow sketch in lecture notes, Proposition 2 on page 7 of "Introduction to Competitive Equilibria and Welfare Theorems"

- d) Define Planner Problem
 - >>> Planner does not face budget constraint
- e,f,g) Plug in the correct endowments!

$$e_t^1 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } t = 0, 2, 4, \dots \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$e_t^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0, 2, 4, \dots \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}$$

- g) Find equilibrium prices
 - Don't write down ADCE, use Negishi Method

- a) Detrend the aggregate feasibility constraint
 - >>> Replace values in aggregate feasibility equation
 - \rightarrow Divide both side by N' = (1 + n)N
- b) Use the equation from a)
 - \rightarrow Impose $k^* \equiv k' = k$ and do algebra
 - \rightarrow Hint: $sy \neq \delta k$ in this model
- c) Plot evolution of aggregate output Y_t over time
 - \rightarrow What goes on *y*-axis?
 - \rightarrow What goes on x-axis?
 - → Use Excel if you must

Static model of indivisible labor supply (i.e., h = 0 or h = 1)

- a) Define competitive equilibrium
 - \rightarrow Write household problem for all $i \in [0, 1]$
 - → Write firm problem
 - → 3 market-clearing conditions
 - integrate over allocations by households on [0, 1] to get aggregates

PS4 Overview

- b) In equilibrium, households are *indifferent* between working full-time and not working at all
 - Use this condition to write down an equation. (Think carefully about how much workers/non-workers consume.)
 - 2 Solve for $\frac{r^*}{w^*}$ (it equals a constant)
 - Write down firm's FOCs
 - Combine expression from steps 2 and 3 to write an equation that has equilibrium labor supply n^* as its only variable and solve.

Static model of expenditure shares agriculture, manufacturing, goods

- a) Find expenditure shares for each good, i.e find $\frac{p_i c_i}{v}$
 - 1) Write down utility maximization subject to budget constraint.
 - 2) FOCs with respect to decision variables. This gives you three equations with three unknowns.

PS4 Overview

- 3) Solve for $\frac{p_i c_i}{v}$ for $i \in \{a, m, s\}$.
- b) How do expenditures shares change as you increase y?
 - 1) Check sign of $\frac{\partial \left(\frac{c_i p_i}{y}\right)}{\partial y}$ for $i \in \{a, m, s\}$
 - 2) Sanity check: Do your results match your intuition about economic development?

- a) (Optional) Finite horizon planning problem
 - → Write Euler equation
 - >>> Rearrange so that left-hand side of equation is

$$z_{t+1} \equiv \frac{k_{t+2}}{Ak_{t+1}^{\alpha}}$$

PS4 Overview

and $z_t \equiv \frac{k_{t+1}}{Ak_t^{\alpha}}$ is on the right-hand side

- \rightarrow Solve for z_t in terms of parameters and z_{t+1} .
- \rightarrowtail Start in final period and work backward (i.e., $k_{T+1}=0 \Rightarrow z_T=0$)
 - Why is this the case?
- \rightarrow Notice pattern and write equation for z_t
- b) Evaluate limits

Two-period sequential market economy

The correct endowments are:

$$(e_0^1,e_1^1)=(1,0)$$

$$(e_0^2,e_1^2)=(0,1)$$

Two-period sequential market economy

- a) Define SMCE
 - >>> Household has separate budget constraints for two periods
 - \rightarrow Be careful with η when defining market clearing conditions
- b) Find equilibrium interest rate i^* as function of η
 - \rightarrow FOCs wrt c_0^k , c_1^k , a^k
- Discuss why interest rate changes as it does when η increases. Evaluate

$$\frac{\partial i^*}{\partial \eta}$$

Ag, manufacturing, services share model

- a) Set up to PS4, Problem 2
- b) Pull data for ag, manufacturing, and services consumption in U.S.
- c) "Calibrate" the parameters of the model
- d) Interpret \bar{c}_a and \bar{c}_s parameters
- e) Compare expenditure shares path predicted by model against actual data

Static model of continuous labor supply

- 2 Define competitive equilibrium
 - >>> State all equilibrium objects
 - Define household problem
 - Labor and leisure must add up to time endowment
 - → Define firm problem
 - → Three markets clear

Problems 3, 4

Solve static model of continuous labor supply

Assume

$$u(c,\ell) = rac{1}{1-\sigma} \left[\left(c^{\phi} \ell^{1-\phi}
ight)^{1-\sigma} - 1
ight] \qquad F(K,N) = A K^{\alpha} N^{1-lpha}$$

- \rightarrow Assume $\phi \in (0,1)$
- \rightarrow You may assume k = 1 (but you don't have to)
- Assume

$$u(c,\ell) = c - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (1 - \ell)^{1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \qquad F(K,N) = AK^{\alpha}N^{1 - \alpha}$$

- \rightarrow Assume $\varepsilon > 0$
- \rightarrow Easier to solve $h^* = 1 \ell^*$