ECON 8040 - TA13

Michael Kotrous

University of Georgia

November 17, 2023



Today's Session

Introduction

- * PS8 due Friday, November 17
- * Thanksgiving Break
 - → No class Tuesday, November 21 nor Thursday, November 23
 - → No TA session Friday, November 24
- ★ Computation Exercise due Thursday, November 30
- * PS9 due Friday, December 1 at 9:00 a.m.
- ★ Final exam Thursday, December 7, 3:30–6:30 p.m.

Michael Kotrous (UGA)

Introduction

- * Install Matlab & run VFI lecture file
- ⋆ CE (a)–(c) (no coding required)
- * PS9 Problem 1

PS7 Highlights

- \star No leisure preference / disutility of work \Rightarrow inelastic labor supply
- * Focus on defining PP/CE & deriving solutions, not shortcuts
 - \rightarrow Which equation gives steady state k/n?
 - → Which FOCs are used to derive it?
- ⋆ Pity the grader!
 - → Write clearly
 - → Simplify math as much as possible

Infinite-horizon production economy with household and business sector

(a) Write down social planner's problem Replacing $n_{Ht}=1-N_{Mt}$ and $c_{Ht}=k_{Ht}^{\alpha}n_{Ht}^{1-\alpha}$, the planner's problem is

$$\max_{\{c_{Mt}, N_{Mt}, K_{Mt+1}, k_{Ht+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log \left(\left[\mu c_{Mt}^{\rho} + (1-\mu) [k_{Ht}^{\alpha} (1-N_{Mt})^{1-\alpha}]^{\rho} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right)$$

subject to

$$c_{Mt} + K_{Mt+1} + k_{Ht+1} = K_{Mt}^{\alpha} N_{Mt}^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta_K) K_{Mt} + (1 - \delta_H) k_{Ht}$$
 $c_{Mt}, K_{Mt+1}, k_{Ht+1} \ge 0$
 $0 \le N_{Mt} \le 1$
 K_{M0}, k_{H0} given

(b) Write it recursively

$$V(K_{M}, k_{H}) = \max_{\{c_{M}, N_{M}K_{M}', k_{H}'\}} \left\{ \log \left(\left[\mu c_{M}^{\rho} + (1 - \mu)[k_{H}^{\alpha}(1 - N_{M})^{1 - \alpha}]^{\rho} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right) + \beta V(K_{M}', k_{H}') \right\}$$

subject to

$$c_M = K_M^{\alpha} N_M^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta_K) K_M + (1 - \delta_H) k_H - K_M' - k_H'; \lambda$$

$$0 \le K_M', k_H', K_M' + k_H' \le K_M^{\alpha} N_M^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta_K) K_M + (1 - \delta_H) k_H$$

$$0 \le N_M \le 1$$

$$K_{M0}, k_{H0} \text{ given}$$

Michael Kotrous (UGA)

- (c) Write FOC and envelope condition for Bellman
 - → 4 controls
 - → 2 states
- (d) Equations that characterize steady state allocations
 - \rightarrow c_M : aggregate feasibility
 - \rightarrow N_M : MRS
 - \rightarrow K_M : Euler (for business sector capital)
 - \rightarrow K_H : Euler (for household sector capital)

1 TODICITI 2

Two countries with production sector

(b) With mobile capital, planner faces global feasibility constraint

$$c_t^1 + c_t^2 + k_{t+1}^1 + k_{t+1}^2 = (k_t^1)^{\alpha} + (k_t^2)^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta)(k_t^1 + k_t^2); \lambda_t$$

- \rightarrowtail In the long-run, the more patient country consumes larger share of global output
- → Planner allocates capital equally. Why?

$$k_{t+1}^{i} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\frac{\lambda_{t}}{\lambda_{t+1}} - 1 + \delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}$$

Working with three sets of preferences u(c, 1-n)

(a) Recursive planning problem takes form

$$V(k) = \max_{k',n} \left\{ u(c, 1-n) + \beta V(k') \right\}$$

subject to
$$0 \le k' \le Ak^{\alpha}n^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k, 0 \le n \le 1$$

- (b) Characterize steady-state
 - $\rightarrow k/n$, c/n same for all 3 parts. Why?
 - \rightarrow n varies. Why?

Two-sector (consumption and investment) growth model

- (a) Write planner's problem and specify FOCs
 - \rightarrow Two sectors \Rightarrow two feasibility constraints
 - Y_{ct} can **only** be consumed
 - Y_{xt} can **only** be invested
 - >>> Law of motion of capital stock can also be written as

$$K_{ct+1} + K_{xt+1} = AK_{xt} + (1 - \delta)(K_{ct} + K_{xt})$$

(b) Write recursive planner's problem. Write FOCs and envelope conditions.

$$V(K_c, K_x) = \max_{K'_c, K'_x} \left\{ \frac{(K_c^{\alpha})^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta V(K'_c, K'_x) \right\}$$

subject to
$$K'_c + K'_x = AK_x + (1 - \delta)(K_c + K_x); \lambda$$

Endogenous mortality model

(a) Write planning problem

$$\max_{\{N_{t+1}, c_t, h_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_t u(c_t)$$

subject to

$$N_t(c_t+ph_t)=N_ty$$
 [Feasibility]
$$N_{t+1}=\left(1-rac{1}{f(h_t)}
ight)N_t$$
 ["Law of Motion"] $c_t,h_{t+1},N_{t+1}\geq 0$ N_0,y given

(b) Recursive planning problem

$$V(N) = \max_{N'} \left\{ \max_{c,h} \left\{ Nu(c) \right\} + V(N') \right\}$$

subject to

$$N(c+ph) = Ny$$

$$N' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(h)}\right)N$$
 $N', c, h \ge 0$
 $y \text{ given}$

Does it discount?

The Lucas Tree. (Maybe) a helpful analogy: Imagine a shipwrecked crew on an island. The only consumption good available to crew is fruit of a tree on the island. Each period t tree produces fruit d_t . Crew member with s_t shares of tree is entitled to $s_t d_t$ fruit, and shares are traded at price p_t^s . Crew can also trade one-period risk-free bonds b_t at price p_t^b . The budget constraint is

$$c_t + p_t^b b_{t+1} + p_t^s (s_{t+1} - s_t) = b_t + s_t d_t$$

(a) Define SMCE. State *all* allocations and market clearing conditions. Write utility as u(c).

- (b) Write Recursive Competitive Equilibrium.
 - \rightarrow Rewrite budget constraint and use hint that $w \equiv b + s(p^s(d) + d)$
 - → Allocations in (a) are policy functions
 - → Prices in (a) are functions of dividends
 - \rightarrow State variables: (w, d)
 - \rightarrow Control variables: (c, s', b')
 - >>> Refer to example in lecture notes

You don't need to do part (b) to solve parts (c) and (d)

Use SMCE definition in (a) to solve stock price p_t^s and bond price p_t^b .

- (c) Assume $d_t = 1$. No utility form provided, just keep utility as u(c)
- (d) Assume $u(c) = \log c$ and $d_t = \begin{cases} 1 & t = 0, 2, 4, \dots \\ 2 & t = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}$

Sequential markets economy w/ government

- (a) Define SMCE
 - → Prices, allocations, and *policy*
 - >>> HH budget constraint includes taxes and transfers
 - → Firm maximizes profit
 - → Government tax revenues equal lump-sum transfers
 - → Four markets clear

- (b) Write i_{t+1} in terms of taxes, allocations
 - \rightarrow FOC wrt a_{t+1}
- (c) Write 3 equations determining steady state allocations
 - → Euler equation
 - > Aggregate feasibility
 - → MRS consumption / leisure

- (d) Write capital-labor ratio as function of taxes, parameters
 - → Use Euler equation
- (e) Find steady state interest rate
 - → Use equation from (b)

Growth Rates and Tax Policy

- (a) Define Arrow-Debreu competitive equilibrium.
 - \rightarrowtail Be careful with policy variables (au_c, au_k, g)
- (b) Derive Euler equation of household
 - → FOCs with respect to choice variables
- (c) Find long-run growth rate of the economy: $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$
- (d) Can government increase growth rate by subsidizing capital? $(au_k < 0)$
- (e) Find optimal tax subsidy that maximizes representative household's welfare.
 - → Can be shown mathematically, but trust your intuition, too.

- (a) Write down aggregate feasibility (aggregate or per person variables $k_t \equiv \frac{K_t}{N}$
- (b) Write down profit maximization problem. Derive formulas for rental rate of capital r_t and wage w_t
- (c) Define SMCE
- (d) Write 3 equations in per-person variables that characterize equilibrium allocations
 - → Euler equation
 - >> Aggregate feasibility
 - >>> Marginal rate of substitution consumption and leisure
- (e) Find steady state capital-labor ratio $\frac{K}{H}$.
- How does labor income tax τ affect factor prices, capital-to-output ratio, labor supply, and total output?

AKH growth model with human capital accumulation

- (a) Define TDCE
- (b) Assume $\delta_k = \delta_h$. Find $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$ in terms of parameters and taxes.
- (c) Tax reform human capital investment x_t^h now exempt. Define TDCE.
 - >>> Be careful editing government budget and household budget constraint.
- (d) Find growth rates again.
- (e) Holding tax rates fixed, which economy grows faster?

- ★ Definitely the hardest problem on the problem set
- * So far, we've treated population growth as an exogenous parameter. This model makes population growth *endogenous*.
- ★ References missing on the problem set (actually useful for solving)
 - → Barro, Robert J. and Gary S. Becker. (1989) "Fertility choice in a model of economic growth." *Econometrica* 57(2): 481–501.
 - → Becker, Gary S. and Robert J. Barro. (1988) "A reformulation of the economic theory of fertility." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 103(1): 1–25.

- (a) Write down aggregate feasibility
 - \rightarrow R inclusive of depreciation, so law of motion of capital has $\delta = 0$
 - >>> Raising children has two forms of cost:
 - Consumption good
 - Time-spent away from work
- (b) Write down planning problem of "head of family" that takes initial population N_0 , capital stock K_0 as given
- (c) Use planner's FOCs to solve for per capita consumption c_t and population growth n_t . How do they depend on N_0 . K_0 ?

- (d) Write planner's problem recursively. Denote value function V(K, N). Show that it is a contraction mapping.
 - → Blackwell's sufficient conditions
- (e) Show that $V(K, N) = N^{1+\eta} v(k)$. Write Bellman equation that v(k)solves
 - $\rightarrow k \equiv \frac{K}{N}$
 - \rightarrow Plug in "guess" of V(K, N) into Bellman and rearrange terms
- (f) Show k' is constant and independent of k
 - \rightarrow It's sufficient to write k' in terms of c, n, and parameters
 - \rightarrow You already solved for c, n

Computation Exercise

Matlab installation instructions on eLC

- (a) De-trend planner's problem (population, TFP grow)
- (b) Write stationary planner's problem recursively. Determine k^{ss} :
 - → Write Euler equation
 - \rightarrow Impose steady state condition: V'(k) = V'(k')
- (c) Calibrate parameters $(A, \beta, \alpha, \delta)$
- (d) Solve model numerically by VFI
 - >>> Lecture recording, slides, and references in eLC
- (e) Use policy functions to simulate capital, consumption, and output